1	JEANE HAMILTON BARBARA J. NELSON LISA V. TENORIO Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101		
2			
3			
4			
5	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6660		
6	Attorneys for the United States		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)) 	
12	V.	CR No.: 00-CR-10278	
13	JEAN PIERRE CAGNAT,) 15 U.S.C. § 1 (Bid Rigging)	
14	Defendant.)	
15			
16	<u>INDICTMENT</u>		
17	The Grand Jury charges as follows:		
18	I.		
19	DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE		
20	1. JEAN PIERRE CAGNAT is hereby indicted and made a defendant on the		
21	charge stated below.		
22	2. Beginning in or about September 1996 and continuing until in or about		
23	September 1997, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, the defendant and		
24	his co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to		
25	suppress and eliminate competition by rigging bids and allocating contracts for the		
26	sale of stay cable materials, supplies, and services (collectively, "stay cable systems")		
	INDICTMENT Page 1		

1	used in the construction of cable-stayed bridges in the United States. The combination		
2	and conspiracy engaged in by the defendant and his co-conspirators was an		
3	unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, in violation of Section 1 of		
4	the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).		
5	3. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing		
6	agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and his co-		
7	conspirators, the substantial terms of which were:		
8	(a) to raise or maintain prices for stay cable systems by refraining from		
9	competitive bidding;		
10	(b) to rig bids on contracts for the sale of stay cable systems; and		
11	(c) to allocate among the defendant and his co-conspirators contracts		
12	for the sale of stay cable systems.		
13	II.		
14	MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY		
15	4. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and		
16	conspiracy, the defendant and his co-conspirators did those things that they combined		
17	and conspired to do, including, among other things:		
18	(a) participating in a meeting in London, England in or about		
19	September 1996 to discuss bids on stay cable systems;		
20	(b) agreeing, during the 1996 London meeting, to participate in a bid		
21	rotation scheme for stay cable systems;		
22	(c) exchanging information, including price information, for upcoming		
23	stay cable system bids; and		
24	(d) submitting noncompetitive, rigged bids in accordance with the bid		
25	rotation scheme on the following cable-stayed bridges:		
26			
	INDICTMENT Page 2		

1	(i) Sidney Lanier, located in Georgia;		
2	(ii) Maysville, located in Kentucky and Ohio; and		
3	(iii) Charles River, located in Massachusetts.		
4	III.		
5	DEFENDANT AND CO-CONSPIRATORS		
6	5. Defendant is a resident and citizen of France. During the period covered		
7	by this Indictment, defendant was the director of Freyssinet International et Cie		
8	("Freyssinet"), acting as its chief executive officer. Freyssinet is a French company in		
9	the business of providing stay cable systems worldwide. Defendant supervised and		
10	approved bids submitted on behalf of Freyssinet for the sale of stay cable systems in		
11	the United States.		
12	6. Various corporations and individuals, not made defendants in this		
13	Indictment, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged and performed acts		
14	and made statements in furtherance of it.		
15	7. Whenever in this Indictment reference is made to any act, deed, or		
16	transaction of any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in		
17	the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, employees, agents, or		
18	other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction,		
19	control, or transaction of its business or affairs.		
20	IV.		
21	TRADE AND COMMERCE		
22	8. The stay cable systems sold in accordance with the charged combination		
23	and conspiracy were used in cable-stayed bridges in various states.		
24	9. During the period covered by this Indictment, there was a substantial,		
25	continuous, and uninterrupted flow of interstate and foreign trade and commerce of		
26	essential supplies, materials, and services used by the defendant and his co-		
	INDICTMENT Page 3		

conspirators in providing stay cable systems for the construction of cable-stayed bridges in the United States. 10. 3 All of the stay cable systems that are the subject of the charged combination and conspiracy are essential components of the National Highway System and were partially funded by the United States Treasury. 5 6 11. The actions of the defendant and his co-conspirators in carrying out the offense charged were within the flow of interstate and foreign trade and commerce and 7 had a substantial effect on interstate and foreign trade and commerce. V. 9 10 JURISDICTION AND VENUE The combination and conspiracy charged in this Indictment was carried 11 12. 12 out, in part, in the District of Massachusetts within the five years preceding the return 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 INDICTMENT -- Page 4

1	of this Indictment.		
2	ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.		
3	A TRUE BILL	D STATES CODE, SECTION 1.	
4	A TROE BILL		
5	"/s/"		
6	FOREPERSON /S/		
7			
8			
9	"/s/" Joel L. Klein		
10	Assistant Attorney General	Chief	
11			
12			
13	"/s/" James M. Griffin		
14	Deputy Assistant Attorney General	Barbara J. Nelson Lisa V. Tenorio	
15		Trial Attorneys	
16	"/s/"	Trial Attorneys United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division	
17	Scott D. Hammond Director of Criminal Enforcement	Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102	
18	United States Department of Justice	(415) 436-6660	
19	Antitrust Division		
20			
21			
22	"/s/" Donald K. Stern		
23	United States Attorney District of Massachusetts		
24			
25			
26			
	INDICTMENT Page 5		